

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspote, 200

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,607	-	11/24/2003	David M. Lowe	2003B126	4238
23455	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
EXXONM	OBIL (	CHEMICAL CO	HAILEY, PATRICIA L		
5200 BAY	WAY D	RIVE		, DE LOUE	DAREN MED COED
P.O. BOX	2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149				1755	
				DATE MAILED, 00/01/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/720,607	LOWE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Patricia L. Hailey	1755

*	Patricia L. Hailey	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •	126(a) and the annual	4
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will below or appended.	ii be entered and an 6	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>46-50</u> .	·	•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a North and the affidate of	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	•		nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
•		K	
	J.7 Supervisor	LOPENGO LY PATENT EXAMINIES	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Rart of Paper No. 082405

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues requiring further consideration and search.

Additionally, Applicants' arguments traversing the rejections of record have been considered, but are not persuasive. The proposed amendments to the instant claims does not further distinguish them over the prior art, in part because the scope of the claims is still encompassed by the term "comprising". Further, there is no explicit teaching that the techniques employed to prepare the prior art catalysts do not result in containment depths of not more than 300 microns. This limitation is considered to encompass depths as minimal as possible, which can be achieved by merely dipping (i.e., dip-coating) the support in a solution of the catalytic metal, or by spraying the support with said solution.

J.A. LORENGO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER